

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P. D. Box 1459 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gev

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE			
	TIENG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,618	09/18/2003	Bun-Yeoul Lee	MUTU11.00CP1	1209
20995 KNIODDE N	7590 10/15/	• •	EXAMINER	
2040 MAIN	MARTENS OLSO STREET	PASTERCZYK, JAMES W		
FOURTEEN IRVINE, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
month, Cr	32014		1755	

DATE MAILED: 10/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ameliandian N		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action S	ummanı	10/666,618	LEE ET AL.	
Office Action 3	ummary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The state the second		J. Pasterczyk	1755	
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication ap	pears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTOR THE MAILING DATE OF THI  - Extensions of time may be available un after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing  - If the period for reply specified above is  - If NO period for reply is specified above  - Failure to reply within the set or extend Any reply received by the Office later it earned patent term adjustment. See 33	der the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 g date of this communication. It is that thirty (30) days, a reple, the maximum statutory period the depended for reply will, by statute and three months after the mailing the mail of the m	I36(a). In no event, however, m ly within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6)	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this o	ly. communication.
Status	( C.			
1) Responsive to commun	nication(s) filed on 20 U	uly 2004		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .		action is non-final.		
	in condition for allowa	nco except for formal	matters, prosecution as to the	
closed in accordance w	ith the practice under F	Ev narte Ouavlo, 1035	C.D. 44, 453 C.O. 343	e merits is
Disposition of Claims	and produce under E	-x parte Quayle, 1955	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4) Claim(s) <u>4-10 and 15-20</u>	$\underline{0}$ is/are pending in the $a$	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s	s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are al				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-10 and 15-20</u>				
7) Claim(s) is/are of				
8)☐ Claim(s) are subj	ect to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is object	cted to by the Evamina			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 2	9 July 2004 istaro: a)	Taccontact on h)M - h		
Applicant may not request	that any objection to the	_ accepted or b)⊠ ob	jected to by the Examiner.	
Replacement drawing chas	onal any objection to the o	irawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The nath or declaration is	cohicated to be the F	on is required if the draw	ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is	s objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attac	hed Office Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made	e of a claim for foreign p	oriority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)∟ Some * c)∟	None of:			
1. Certified copies of	the priority documents	have been received.		
<ol> <li>2.</li></ol>	the priority documents	have been received in	Application No. <u>09/526,035</u>	
<ol> <li>Copies of the certification</li> </ol>	fied copies of the priorit	ty documents have be	en received in this National S	Stago
application from th	e International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17,2(a)).	The state of the s	rage
* See the attached detailed	Office action for a list o	f the certified copies n	ot received.	
•				
tachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892		_		
Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawi	ina Review (PTO-049)	4) LInterview	v Summary (PTO-413)	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (	PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/2/04</u> .	)	6)  Other: _		102)
Patent and Trademark Office DL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action	on Summary	Part of Paper No /M-II Day	
-	Outre Well	on QuininafV	Part of Popor No /Ma-10 .	20044646

Art Unit: 1755

- 1. This Office action is in response to the amendment filed 7/29/04 and the IDS received 4/2/04 and refers to the Office action mailed 4/26/04.
- 2. The drawings are objected to because those newly submitted are even less distinct that those originally filed. The best solution to this problem would have been to submit photomicrographs taken with lighting from above the subject instead of backlighting; in the absence of that, resubmission of the originally filed photographs may have to suffice. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The specification should be amended to reflect the correct surface structure of silica as now claimed. Since this is a conventionally-known fact, no new matter would be seen.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,618

Art Unit: 1755

4. The 35 USC 112, first paragraph rejection is withdrawn as are the bulk of the second paragraph rejections and the prior art rejections using Lee I and Antberg. However, c.f. below for new formal rejections and prior art rejections necessitated by amendment.

5. Claims 7, 15 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 7, last line of the clause defining Q, there appears to be missing language since the last "wherein" clause seems like a fragment.

In claim 15, two lines following formula 2, delete "comprises a hydrogen atom, and wherein the hydrogen atom" as rendered unnecessary by the following language stating that these groups are substituted. In the clause defining J, last line, the alkylidene group cannot have just one carbon atom in it since it requires an unsaturated C-C bond. In the structure of formula 6, make the "6" off farther to the right and level with the long chain to make it clear that it is a formula number and not e.g. a subscript. In the line following this structure delete the comma and insert --an-- before "oxygen".

Claim 20 has the same problems as claim 15 with the exception of the --an-- problem in the last sentence of the above paragraph.

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. Claims 4-10 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any of Lee II, McNally, Sancho Royo, or Hidalgo Llinas as cited in the previous Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,618

Art Unit: 1755

Lee II discloses the invention substantially as claimed (abstract; structures on p. 9-2, 9-3, 9-7, 9-8). McNally also discloses the invention substantially as claimed (figures 1 and 2, structures with terminal methoxy groups; col. 4, 1. 47-58), as does Sancho Royo (abstract; col. 3, 1. 15-28; examples) and Hidalgo Llinas (paragraph 0012; formulas of pp. 2-17).

None of these primary references explicitly discloses that the silica support has been dehydroxylated or that the surface species of the silica support after reaction with the transition metal compound is an alkoxy wherein the alkoxy group comes from the transition metal compound by cleavage of an O-A' bond.

However, given the identities of the side groups on the various transition metal compounds of the primary references it would have been reasonable to expect that such surface species would have been formed from silica having its surface hydroxyl groups converted to bridging Si-O-Si groups by dehydration.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply that skill to the disclosures of any of the primary references with the expected benefit of the catalyst formed being covalently bonded to the silica surface without interfering with the transition metal reactive site for olefin polymerization.

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 1755

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Pasterczyk whose telephone number is 571-272-1375. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell, can be reached at 571-272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J. Pasterczyk

AU 1755

10/13/04

Mark L. Bell

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700